

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/837,936	LOGUINOV ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Melvin H. Pollack	2145	

**All Participants:**

(1) Melvin H. Pollack (USPTO).

(2) Yan Glickberg (51,742).

**Status of Application:** First Action after RCE

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 15 May 2006

**Time:** 2:00 PM EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Sisalem in view of Derby and Berthaud et al.*

Claims discussed:

3, 16, and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

*Berthaud et al.*


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner determined that claims 3, 16, and 25, after further search and consideration, were allowable in independent form for the reasons given by the applicant. Examiner offered examiner's amendment regarding these claims to applicant. Applicant requested office action.